

ON A MODIFIED PARABOLIC COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION WITH APPLICATIONS

ALBERT CHAU¹ AND LUEN-FAI TAM²

ABSTRACT. We study a parabolic complex Monge-Ampère type equation of the form (1.1) on a complete non-compact Kähler manifold. We prove a short time existence result and obtain basic estimates. Applying these results, we prove that under certain assumptions on a given real and closed (1,1) form Ω and initial Kähler metric g_0 on M , the modified Kähler-Ricci flow $g' = -\text{Ric} + \Omega$ has a long time solution converging to a complete Kähler metric such that $\text{Ric} = \Omega$, which extends the result in [1] to non-compact manifolds. We will also obtain a long time existence result for the Kähler-Ricci flow which generalizes a result [5].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g_0) be a smooth complete non-compact Kähler manifold. In this article we will study parabolic complex-Monge Ampère equations of the following type on M :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\sigma(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v)^n}{(\omega_0)^n} - f & \text{in } M \times [0, T) \\ v(x, 0) = u. \end{cases}$$

where f and $\sigma(t)$ are given smooth families of functions and real and closed (1, 1) forms on M for $t \in [0, T)$ respectively, u is a smooth function on M and ω_0 is the Kähler form of g_0 . There is a close connection between (1.1) and the Kähler-Ricci flow equation on M

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\omega) \\ \omega(0) = \omega_0. \end{cases}$$

¹Research partially supported by NSERC grant no. #327637-06.

²Research partially supported by Hong Kong RGC General Research Fund #GRF 2160357.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C55, 58J35.

Key words and phrases. Non-compact Kähler-Einstein metrics, Kähler-Ricci flow, parabolic Monge-Ampère equation.

where ω is a Kähler form with corresponding Ricci form $\text{Ric}(\omega)$. Let v be a solution to (1.1) such that the corresponding family $\omega(t) := \sigma(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ are Kähler forms. Then if $\text{Ric}_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ and $\sigma(t) = \omega_0$, then $\omega(t)$ evolves under (1.2) and remains in the Kähler class $[\omega_0]$ (see [1], [6]). On the other hand, if $f = 0$ and $\sigma_t = -t\text{Ric}(\omega_0) + \omega_0$, then $\omega(t)$ evolves under (1.2) but does not remain in the same Kähler class in general (see [20], [21] on compact manifolds and [11] on non-compact manifolds). Conversely, it can also be shown in the above cases that given a solution $\omega(t)$ to (1.2), there exists a corresponding solution v to (1.1) such that $\omega(t) := \sigma(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ (see references above).

One of the goals in this article is to generalize our previous results in [6] where the authors proved: when $\text{Ric}_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ and $\sigma(t) = \omega_0$, then g_0 converges to a Kähler Ricci flat metric g under (1.2) under certain additional assumptions on f and g_0 . We will extend this result to the case when $\text{Ric}_0 - \Omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ where Ω is given but not necessarily zero. We prove that when (M^n, g_0) is complete, non-compact with bounded curvature, with volume growth $V_{x_0}(r) \leq Cr^{2n}$ for some x_0 and C for all r , and satisfies a certain Sobolev inequality, then:

Under the above conditions, the Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2) has a long time solution $g(t)$ converging smoothly on M provided $|f|(x) \leq \frac{C}{1+\rho_0^{2+\epsilon}(x)}$ for some $C, \epsilon > 0$ and all x such that the Ricci form of the limit metric is Ω .

See Theorem 4.2 for details. This will correspond to (1.1) in the case $\omega(t) = \omega_0$ and $\text{Ric}_0 - \Omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ in which case the equation for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega(t)$ will change from (1.2) only by the addition of $\Omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ to the RHS of (1.2). The proof combines the a priori estimates developed here together with estimates from [6], in particular the C^0 estimate. The main difference here is that the corresponding metrics $g(t)$ are not evolving under the standard Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2), and general Kähler-Ricci theory cannot be directly applied as in [6]. Our results are motivated by the results in [18, 19] which extend the famous results in [22] to the complete non-compact setting under additional assumptions. By studying the elliptic Monge-Ampère equation Yau [22] proved that if (M, g_0) is a compact Kähler manifold and $\Omega \in c_1(M)$, then there exists a Kähler metric g in the same class as g_0 with Ω as its Ricci tensor. This result was later re-established in [1] by considering the corresponding parabolic complex Monge-Ampère on compact manifolds.

Our second goal will be to establish a longtime existence result for (1.2). We prove that when (M^n, g_0) is smooth, complete and non-compact with injectivity radius bounded below and curvature approaching zero pointwise at infinity then

Under the above conditions, the Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2) has a smooth longtime solution provided there exists a strictly plurisubharmonic function on M .

See Corollary 4.1 for details. Since a simply connected complete non-compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature is a product of a compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature and a complete non-compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature supporting a strictly pluri-subharmonic function [13], the theorem generalizes the longtime existence result in [5]. In particular, the result applies when $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ or more generally a Stein manifold. We establish this by showing (1.1) has a longtime solution for appropriate choices of f and $\sigma(t)$. The proof combines ideas from [11], the a priori estimates developed here and the results in [5]. In fact, this is a corollary of a more general result Theorem 4.1.

The paper is organized roughly as follows. In §2 we prove a general shorttime existence result for (1.1) where we do not assume f or $v(x, 0)$ are bounded. In §3 we prove a priori estimates for (1.1) where we assume f and $v(x, 0) = 0$ are bounded. In §4 we prove the main results Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

2. SHORT TIME EXISTENCE

Consider parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.1) on a complete non-compact Kähler manifold (M, g_0) where $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ is a given smooth real and closed $(1, 1)$ form on M for $t \in [0, T)$, ω_0 is the Kähler form of g_0 , and f and u are smooth possibly unbounded functions on $M \times [0, T)$ and M respectively.

We will do our analysis of (1.1) in appropriate Hölder spaces on M which in turn will involve the notions of bounded geometry of various orders with respect to g_0 . We will now make the appropriate definitions for these. We begin by recalling the definition for a complete Kähler manifold (M^n, g) (n is the complex dimension) to have bounded geometry of a certain order, and we also recall the corresponding parabolic and elliptic Hölder spaces on M relative to g_0 (see also [2, 18, 19]).

Definition 2.1. Let (M^n, g) complete Kähler manifold. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$. g is said to have bounded geometry of order $k + \alpha$ if there are positive numbers r, κ_1, κ_2 such that at every $p \in M$ there is a neighborhood U_p of p , and local biholomorphism ξ_p from $D(r)$ onto U_p with $\xi_p(0) = p$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) the pull back metric $\xi_p^*(g)$ satisfies:

$$\kappa_1 g_e \leq \xi_p^*(g) \leq \kappa_2 g_e$$

where g_e is the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$;

- (ii) the components $g_{i\bar{j}}$ of $\xi_p^*(g)$ in the natural coordinate of $D(r) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are uniformly bounded in the standard $C^{k+\alpha}$ norm in $D(r)$ independent of p .

It is obvious that if g_0 is of bounded geometry of order $k + \alpha$, then it is of bounded geometry of order $k + \alpha'$ for all $\alpha' < \alpha$. The family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(\xi_p, U_p), p \in M\}$$

is called a family of *quasi-coordinate neighborhoods*.

In the following we will define a norm on m forms on M for any m and we will define corresponding Banach spaces. We will make these definitions relative to fixed quasi-coordinates $\mathcal{F}$. For two different quasi-coordinates, the corresponding norms defined will be equivalent f, and the corresponding Banach spaces will be the same. See the appendix for details.

For any domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and integer $k \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega, k+\alpha}$ be the standard $C^{k+\alpha}$ norm for functions on Ω . If $T > 0$ and k is even let $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega \times [0, T]; k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}$ be the standard parabolic $C^{k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}$ norm for functions on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ (see appendix see details).

Define the $C^{k+\alpha}(M)$ norm for a smooth m -form f on M by

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{m, k, \alpha} = \sup_{p \in M} \max_I \|(\xi_p^* f)_I\|_{D(r), k+\alpha}.$$

where I represents a multi-index and the $(\xi_p^* f)_I$'s are the local components of the form $\xi_p^* f$. Likewise, if $T > 0$ and k is even define the $C^{k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T])$ norm for smooth time dependent m -form f on $M \times [0, T]$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{m, k, \alpha} = \sup_{p \in M} \max_I \|(\xi_p^* f)_I\|_{D(r) \times [0, T]; k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}.$$

Definition 2.2. For any m and $0 \leq k \leq 2$ we define $C_m^{k+\alpha}(M)$ to be the norm completion of space of smooth m -forms with norm $\|\cdot\|_{m, k, \alpha}$. Likewise, for $k = 0$ or 2 , let $C_m^{k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T])$ be the norm completion of space of smooth time dependent m -forms with norm $\|\cdot\|_{m, k, \alpha}$. Both $C_m^{k+\alpha}(M)$ and $C_m^{k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T])$ are Banach spaces. We will omit the subscript m in the notation when there will be no confusion.

We have the following lemma: 2.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a complete non-compact Kähler manifold of bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$. Then*

- (i) *M has bounded curvature.*
- (ii) *There is a smooth function $\rho \geq 1$ such that near infinity it is equivalent to the distance function from a fixed point and has bounded gradient and Hessian.*

Proof. (i) is obvious from the definition of bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$. (ii) is a result in [15], see also [16]. $\square$

In order to state the main result of short time existence of (1.1), we first discuss the following special case:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\sigma(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v)^n}{(\omega_0)^n} \\ v(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a smooth complete non-compact Kähler manifold of bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ and let $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ be a smooth family of closed and real $(1,1)$ forms on $M \times [0, T)$ such that*

- (i) $\sigma \in C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T))$;
- (ii) $c^{-1}\omega_0 \leq \sigma \leq c\omega_0$ for some $c > 0$ on $M \times [0, T)$;
- (iii) *there exists $v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T))$ such that*

$$w_0 := \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t} - \log \left(\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_0)^n}{\omega_0^n} \right)$$

satisfies $w_0(x, 0) = 0$.

Then there exists $0 < T' \leq T$ such that (2.3) has a smooth solution $v \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}(M \times [0, T'])$ so that $\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 in $M \times [0, T']$.

Proof. The idea is based on a general implicit function Theorem argument outlined in [9]¹. By choosing a smaller T if necessary, we may assume that σ is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 in $M \times [0, T]$. In particular, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that if $\|v\|_{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} < \delta$ then $C_1\omega_0 \geq \sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v \geq C_1^{-1}\omega_0$ in $M \times [0, T]$. For the remainder of the proof for any k and β we will denote the spaces $C^{k+\beta, k/2+\beta/2}(M \times [0, T])$ and $C^{k+\beta}(M)$ simply by $C^{k+\beta, k/2+\beta/2}$ and $C^{k+\beta}$.

We define

$$\mathcal{B} = \{v \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \mid \|v\|_{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} < \delta, v(x, 0) = 0\}.$$

¹Also see Proposition 5.1 in [3] for an application of this argument to the entire graphical Mean Curvature flow

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an open ball in a closed subspace of $C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}$. Now define the map

$$F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}}$$

by

$$F(v) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \log \frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v)^n}{\omega^n}.$$

Then the map F is well defined and C^1 such that the differential DF_v at any $v \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$DF_v(\phi) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - ({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}} \phi_{i\bar{j}}$$

where $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}$ is the inverse of $({}^v\sigma)_{i\bar{j}} := \sigma_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}}$.

Claim 1: DF_v is a bijection from the Banach space

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\phi \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \mid \phi(x, 0) = 0\}$$

onto $C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}}$.

Note that the claim is straight forward in the case that ${}^v\sigma$ has bounded curvature on $M \times [0, T]$. As we cannot assume this however, we must proceed more carefully. Let ρ be a smooth function on M equivalent to the distance function with respect to g_0 from some point p as Lemma 3. Since the metrics ${}^v\sigma$ are uniformly equivalent to g_0 and $\rho \geq 1$, there is a constant C_2 such that

$$|{}^v\sigma_{i\bar{j}} \rho_{i\bar{j}}| < C_2 \rho$$

in $M \times [0, T]$. Hence if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_1$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi + \epsilon e^{C_2 t} \rho) - {}^v\sigma_{i\bar{j}}(\phi_{i\bar{j}} + \epsilon e^{C_2 t} \rho_{i\bar{j}}) > 0.$$

On the other hand, the minimum of $\phi + \epsilon e^{C_2 t} \rho$ is attained in a compact set of $M \times [0, T]$, and thus by the maximum principle, we conclude that $\phi + \epsilon e^{C_2 t} \rho \geq 0$ because $\phi(x, 0) = 0$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude $\phi \geq 0$ on $M \times [0, T]$. Similarly, one can prove that $\phi \leq 0$. Hence $\phi = 0$ and DF_v is injective.

Now, let Ω_l be bounded domains with smooth boundary which exhaust M and ${}^l\phi$ be the solution of $DF_v({}^l\phi) = w$ in $\Omega_l \times [0, T]$ where ${}^l\phi = 0$ for $t = 0$ and on $\partial\Omega_l \times [0, T]$ and $w \in C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}}(M \times [0, T])$. Let $C_3 > \sup_{M \times [0, T]} |w|$. Then $DF_v({}^l\phi + C_3 t) > 0$. By the maximum principle, we conclude that ${}^l\phi \geq -C_3$. Similarly, we have ${}^l\phi \leq C_3$. Hence the sequence $|{}^l\phi|$ is uniformly bounded by C_3 .

Now for any $p \in M$, let (ξ_p, U_p) , and $\xi_p : D(r) \rightarrow U_p$ be as in Definition 2.1. The pull back of ${}^l\phi$ satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial {}^l\phi}{\partial t} - ({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}({}^l\phi)_{i\bar{j}} = w$$

in $D(r)$. For simplicity, we use ${}^l\phi$ to denote the pull back of ${}^l\phi$, etc. By our hypothesis, the components $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}$ above are uniformly equivalent to the standard Euclidean metric and are uniformly bounded in the standard $C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ norm on $D(r) \times [0, T]$. Then by standard Schauder estimates we have

$$\|{}^l\phi\|_{D(\frac{r}{2}) \times [0, T], 2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \leq C_4$$

for some C_4 independent of p and sufficiently large l . Now a standard diagonalizing subsequence argument produces a $\phi \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ such that $DF_v(\phi) = w$. So DF_v is surjective and the claim is established.

Now let v_0 be the function in (iii) which is in $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T])$, then $w_0 = F(v_0)$ and $w_0(x, 0) = 0$. By the inverse function theorem, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|w - w_0\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}} < \epsilon$, then there is $v \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}$ such that $F(v) = w$.

For any $0 < \tau < 1$, let w_τ be such that

$$(2.4) \quad w_\tau(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \tau \\ w_0(x, t - \tau), & \tau < t < 1 \end{cases}$$

Claim 2: $\|w_\tau - w_0\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}} < \epsilon$ for sufficiently small $\tau > 0$.

We will still use w_τ and w_0 to denote the respective pull backs under ξ_p . Let $x, x' \in D(r)$, t, t' . Let A be the $2 + \alpha$ norm of w_0 and let $\eta = w_\tau - w_0$.

Case 1: $t, t' \leq \tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| &= |w_0(x, t) - w_0(x', t')| \\ &\leq A \min\{|x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^\frac{\alpha}{2}, t^\frac{\alpha}{2} + (t')^\frac{\alpha}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $w_0|_{t=0} = 0$. Thus if $|x - x'| \geq \tau^\frac{1}{2}$ then

$$|\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| \leq A(t^\frac{\alpha}{2} + (t')^\frac{\alpha}{2}) \leq 2A\tau^\frac{\alpha}{2} \leq 2A\tau^\frac{\alpha}{4} (|x - x'|^\frac{\alpha}{2} + |t - t'|^\frac{\alpha}{4}),$$

and if $|x - x'| \leq \tau^\frac{1}{2}$ then

$$|\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| \leq A(|x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^\frac{\alpha}{2}) \leq A\tau^\frac{\alpha}{4} (|x - x'|^\frac{\alpha}{2} + |t - t'|^\frac{\alpha}{4})$$

because $|t - t'| \leq \tau$. In either case above we see that the claim is true.

Case 2: $t, t' \geq \tau$. Then

(2.5)

$$|\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| = |w_0(x, t) - w_0(x', t') - w_0(x, t - \tau) + w_0(x', t' - \tau)| \\ \leq 2A \min\{\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, |x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}$$

Thus if $|t - t'| \geq \tau$, then

$$|\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| \leq 2A\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq 2A\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} (|x - x'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + |t - t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}),$$

and if $|t - t'| \leq \tau$, then we can prove as in Case 1 that

$$|\eta(x, t) - \eta(x', t')| \leq 2A\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} (|x - x'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + |t - t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}).$$

In either case above we see that the claim is true.

Hence by the inverse function theorem we have $F(v) = 0$ on $M \times [0, \tau]$ for sufficiently small τ . In particular v solves (2.3) and satisfies the conditions in the lemma $M \times [0, \tau]$. The fact that v is smooth follows from a standard bootstrapping argument applied to (2.3) as at the end of the proof of Proposition (2.1). $\square$

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a smooth complete non-compact Kähler manifold of bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$. Let u be a smooth function on M and let f and $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ be a smooth family of functions and real and closed $(1, 1)$ forms, respectively, on M for $t \in [0, T)$. Suppose that*

- (i) $(\|du\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(M)} + |f_t| + \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|df(t)\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(M)}) < \infty$;
- (ii) $\sigma \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T))$;
- (iii) $\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u \geq c\omega_0$ on $M \times [0, T)$ for some $c > 0$;
- (iv) $\log \frac{(\sigma + \partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_0^n}$ is in $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T))$.

Then there exists $0 < T' \leq T$ and a smooth solution v to (1.1) on $M \times [0, T']$ such that $(v - u + \int_0^t f(s)ds) \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T'])$ and $\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ are uniformly equivalent to ω_0 in $M \times [0, T']$.

Proof. Let u , σ and f be as in the Proposition and let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{i\bar{j}} = \sigma_{i\bar{j}} + (u - \int_0^t f(s)ds)_{i\bar{j}}.$$

Then $\tilde{\sigma} \in C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T'])$ and there is $T' \in (0, T)$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$c\omega_0 \geq \tilde{\sigma} \geq c\omega_0$$

in $M \times [0, T']$. Let

$$v_0 = t \log \left(\frac{(\tilde{\sigma} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u)^n}{\omega_0^n} \right).$$

Then v_0 satisfies condition (iii) in Lemma 2.2 with σ replaced by $\tilde{\sigma}$. By Lemma 2.2, by choose a smaller T' we may assume that there is $\tilde{v} \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}(M \times [0, T'])$ solving (2.3) (with σ replaced by $\tilde{\sigma}$) such that $\tilde{\sigma} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{v}$ is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 in $M \times [0, T']$.

Let $v = \tilde{v} + u - \int_0^t f(s) ds$. Then

$$\sigma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v = \sigma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{v} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (u - \int_0^t f(s) ds) = \tilde{\sigma} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{v}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t} - f.$$

Hence v is a solution to (1.1), v is smooth and $\sigma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v$ is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 in $M \times [0, T']$. It remains to prove that $\tilde{v}$ is actually in $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T'])$.

Around any $p \in M$, pull the equation (1.1) back into $D(r)$ by ξ_p and differentiate the pullback equation with respect to z^l say. We then obtain the following in $D(r) \times [0, T]$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial t} = ({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}(v_l)_{i\bar{j}} + ({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma)_{i\bar{j}l} - (g_0)^{i\bar{j}}(g_0)_{i\bar{j}l} - f_l \\ v_l(x, 0) = u_l. \end{cases}$$

Thus (2.6) is a strictly parabolic equation for v_l with initial condition u_l being in $C^{3+\alpha}(D(\frac{3}{4}r))$ (by condition (i), (ii) and (iv)) such that $\|u_l\|_{3+\alpha, D(\frac{3}{4}r)}$ is bounded by a constant independent of p . Moreover, the $C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}}$ norms of $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}$ and $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma)_{i\bar{j}l} - (g_0)^{i\bar{j}}(g_0)_{i\bar{j}l} - f_l$ in $D(r) \times [0, T']$ are bounded by a constant independent of t . It follows from parabolic Schauder theory that the $C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}$ norm of v_l in $(D(r/2) \times [0, T'])$ is bounded by a constant independent of p . Repeating the above argument with respect to a conjugate coordinate $\bar{z}_l$, we conclude that $C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}$ norms of the first space derivatives of v in $D(\frac{r}{2}) \times [0, T']$ are bounded by a constant independent of p . This implies in particular, that the $C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ norms of $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}$ and $({}^v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma)_{i\bar{j}l} - (g_0)^{i\bar{j}}(g_0)_{i\bar{j}l} - f_l$ in $D(\frac{r}{2}) \times [0, T']$ are bounded by a constant independent of t (see remark 1). Repeating the above arguments, together with the fact that $\tilde{v}$ is uniformly bounded and the assumptions on u and f we conclude that $\tilde{v}$ is in the $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T'])$. By the bootstrapping argument above it is also not hard to see that v is in fact smooth. $\square$

Remark 1. In the second to last sentence in the proof above we actually have that the $C^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{4}}$ norms of the first space derivatives of $(v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}$ and $(v\sigma)^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma)_{i\bar{j}l} - (g_0)^{i\bar{j}}(g_0)_{i\bar{j}l} - f_l$ in $D(\frac{r}{2}) \times [0, T']$ are bounded by a constant independent of t . Thus by the above argument we in fact have that the $C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}$ norms of the second space derivatives of v in $D(\frac{r}{4}) \times [0, T']$ are bounded by a constant independent of p .

The shorttime existence of (1.2) was proved by Shi [14, 15] assuming (M^n, g_0) is a complete non-compact Kähler manifold with bounded curvature. Proposition 2.1 re-establishes this fact under the additional assumption of bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ such that the Ricci form is in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, with much shorter proof. In particular, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a complete non-compact Kähler manifold with bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ and the Ricci form is in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists $T > 0$ such that (1.2) has a solution $g(t)$ on $M \times [0, T)$ such that for each t , $g(t)$ has bounded curvature and is equivalent to g_0 .*

Proof. Apply the Proposition to find a short time solution v of (1.1) with $\sigma(t) = -t\text{Ric}_0 + \omega_0$ and $f = 0$. Then $\omega(t) = \sigma(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ is the required solution of the Kähler-Ricci flow. $\square$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Let (M^n, g_0) be a smooth complete non-compact Kähler manifold with bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let f and $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ be a family of smooth functions and Kähler forms on $M \times [0, T)$ respectively. Let f be a smooth function on $M \times [0, T)$. Let $v(x, t)$ be a smooth solution to the following equation on $M \times [0, T)$

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v)^n}{\omega_0^n} - f & \text{in } M \times [0, T] \\ v(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where ω_0 is the Kähler form of g_0 .

In this section, we want to obtain estimates on v . The derivations of the estimates are rather standard and along similar lines as in [22, 1] (compact case) [2, 6, 11] (non-compact case), except that we have a more simple proof for the second space derivatives of v_t (see Lemma 3.4).

Throughout the section we will let $g_{k\bar{l}}(t) = (\sigma(t))_{k\bar{l}} + v_{k\bar{l}}$. We will use Δ , ∇ , $|\cdot|$ and Δ_σ , ∇_σ , $|\cdot|_\sigma$ to denote the Laplacian, covariant derivatives and norms with respect to g and σ respectively.

Let us recall some well-known results, see [22, 2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $h(t)$ be a smooth family of uniformly equivalent complete Kähler metrics on M for $t \in [0, T)$ and let u be a smooth function on $M \times [0, T)$ such that $\tilde{h}_{i\bar{j}} = h_{i\bar{j}} + u_{i\bar{j}}$ is a family of complete Kähler metrics uniformly equivalent to h for all t . In the following, $\tilde{\nabla}$, $\tilde{\Delta}$ and ∇_h , Δ_h are covariant derivatives and Laplacians with respect to $\tilde{h}$ and h respectively. Also, $|\cdot|$ will denote a norm with respect to h .*

(i)

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\Delta}(\Delta_h u + n) \geq \frac{|\tilde{\nabla}(\Delta_h u + n)|^2}{(\Delta_h u + n)} - C(\Delta_h u + n)\tilde{h}^{i\bar{j}}h_{i\bar{j}} - h^{i\bar{j}}\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}}$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and a bound on the holomorphic bisectional curvature of h and $\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}}$ is the Ricci curvature of $\tilde{h}$.

(ii) Let $Q = \tilde{h}^{i\bar{j}}\tilde{h}^{k\bar{l}}\tilde{h}^{m\bar{n}}u_{;\bar{i}l\bar{m}}u_{;\bar{j}k\bar{n}}$, where $;$ is the covariant derivative with respect to h and let

$$F = \log \frac{\det(\tilde{h}_{a\bar{b}})}{\det(h_{a\bar{b}})}.$$

Then in normal coordinate with respect to h :

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})Q \geq & (F_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}} - u_{t;\bar{i}k\bar{m}})u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}} + (F_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}} - u_{t;\bar{i}k\bar{m}})u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}} \\ & + (u_{t;\bar{p}k} - F_{\bar{p}k})u_{;\bar{i}p\bar{m}}u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}} + (u_{t;\bar{p}i} - F_{\bar{p}i})u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}}u_{;\bar{p}k\bar{m}} \\ & + |u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}\bar{a}} - u_{;\bar{k}p\bar{a}}u_{;\bar{i}p\bar{m}}|^2 \\ & + |u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{m}\bar{a}} - (u_{;\bar{i}p\bar{a}}u_{;\bar{p}k\bar{m}} + u_{;\bar{m}p\bar{a}}u_{;\bar{i}k\bar{p}})|^2 \\ & - C_1(n) [(|\nabla_h \text{Rm}_h| + |\text{Rm}_h|) |u_{i\bar{j}}| |u_{i\bar{j}k}| + |\text{Rm}_h| |u_{i\bar{j}k}|^2] \\ & - C_2 |h_t| |u_{i\bar{j}k}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where Rm_h is the curvature tensor of h and the last constant C_2 depends only on the equivalence of $\tilde{h}$ and h .

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\Delta_\sigma v$ is bounded on $M \times [0, T']$ for all $T' < T$ and suppose there exist A_i such that in $M \times [0, T)$:*

$$|v_t| \leq A_1, |v| \leq A_2, |f| \leq A_3, |\Delta_\sigma f| \leq A_4, A_5^{-1}g_0 \leq \sigma \leq A_5g_0, |\sigma_t|_\sigma \leq A_6,$$

the holomorphic bisectional curvature of σ is bounded by A_7 , and the Ricci curvature $R_{i\bar{j}}^0$ of g_0 is bounded by A_8 .

Then there is a positive constant C depending only on n and $A_1 - A_8$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad C^{-1} \leq n + \Delta_\sigma v \leq C$$

on $M \times [0, T)$

Proof. Let $w = -v_t$. We have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} n + \Delta_\sigma v &= \sigma^{i\bar{j}}((\sigma)_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}}) \geq \left(\frac{\det(\sigma_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}})}{\det(g_0)_{i\bar{j}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{n} (f + v_t) \right) \\ &\geq C_0 \end{aligned}$$

where C_0 depends only on n and A_1 and A_3 . From this the first inequality in (3.4) is true. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 at a point with normal coordinate with respect to $\sigma(t)$ such that $g_{i\bar{j}}(t) = (\sigma(t))_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}(1 + v_{i\bar{j}})$ is diagonal, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) [\log(\Delta_\sigma v + n)] \\ &\geq -C_1 \sum_i \frac{1}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} - \frac{\sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}}{\Delta_\sigma v + n} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_\sigma v}{\Delta_\sigma v + n} \\ &= -C_1 \sum_i \frac{1}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} - \frac{\sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}^0 - \Delta_\sigma f - (\sigma_t^{i\bar{j}}) v_{i\bar{j}}}{\Delta_\sigma v + n} \\ &\geq -C_1 \sum_i \frac{1}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} - C_2 \end{aligned}$$

Here C_1 is a constant depending only on n and A_7 and C_2 is a constant depending only on $n, A_1, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_7, A_8$ where we have used (3.5), the fact that $u_{i\bar{i}} > -1$ for each i and the fact that

$$\Delta_\sigma v_t = -\sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}} + \sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}^0 - \Delta_\sigma f$$

by (3.1). Hence for any $0 \leq t \leq T'$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) [\log(\Delta_\sigma v + n) - (C_1 + 1)v] \\
& \geq -C_1 \sum_i \frac{1}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} - C_2 - (C_1 + 1) \sum_i \frac{v_{i\bar{i}}}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} + (C_1 + 1)v_t \\
& = \sum_i \frac{1}{1 + v_{i\bar{i}}} - (C_1 + 1)n - C_2 + (C_1 + 1)v_t \\
(3.7) \quad & \geq \left(\frac{\sum_i 1 + v_{i\bar{i}}}{\prod_i (1 + v_{i\bar{i}})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - (C_1 + 1)n - C_2 + (C_1 + 1)v_t \\
& \geq C_3 \exp \left[\frac{1}{n-1} (\log(\Delta_\sigma v + n) - (C_1 + 1)v) \right] - C_4 \\
& \geq C_5 (\log(\Delta_\sigma u + n) - (C_1 + 1)v - C_6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 - C_6$ are positive constants depending only on n and $A_1 - A_8$, where we have used (3.5).

We now want to apply a maximum principle argument to (3.7). The argument is basically similar to that in [15] where maximum principles were derived for the case where $g(t)$ is evolving by Kähler-Ricci flow, except that in our case we do not assume the curvature of g is bounded. Since g_0 has bounded curvature, we can find a function ϕ as in Lemma (ii). At a point we can find holomorphic coordinates such that $g_{i\bar{j}}$ is diagonalized at this point with respect to g_0 . Combining (3.5) with our assumption that $\Delta_\sigma v$ is a bounded function for each $t < T$, it follows that $g(t)$ and g_0 are uniformly equivalent for each $t < T$. Then $\Delta\phi = g^{i\bar{j}}\phi_{i\bar{j}} = g^{i\bar{i}}\phi_{i\bar{i}}$ is bounded in $M \times [0, T']$ for all $T' < T$. Hence $\Delta\phi \leq \alpha\phi$, where α may depend on T . Consider $h = e^{\alpha t}\phi$ we have

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) h \leq 0.$$

Consider the function

$$H = (\log(\Delta_\sigma u + n) - (C_1 + 1)v - C_6) - \epsilon h$$

for $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) H \geq C_5 (\log(\Delta_\sigma v + n) - (C_1 + 1)v - C_6)$$

for $T \geq t > 0$. On $M \times [0, T]$, H is bounded from above and will tend to $-\infty$ at infinity by (3.5) and the fact that v is bounded. H must attain its maximum. Hence by the maximum principle, H cannot

attain positive maximum at $t > 0$. Hence

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T]} H \leq \max\{\log n - C_6, 0\}.$$

The second inequality in (3.7) is true by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1. *Assume the hypothesis and notation in Lemma 3.2. Then there exists a positive constants $C > 0$ depending on n and $A_1 - A_8$ in Lemma 3.2 such that*

$$(3.8) \quad C^{-1}g_0 \leq g \leq Cg_0, \text{ and } |v_{ij}|_\sigma \leq C$$

on $M \times [0, T)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume the hypothesis and notation in Lemma 3.2. In addition assume there exist A_9 and A_{10} such that on $M \times [0, T)$,*

$$|\nabla_\sigma^2 f|_\sigma + |\nabla_\sigma^3 f|_\sigma \leq A_9, \quad |\text{Rm}^\sigma| + |\nabla_\sigma \text{Rm}^\sigma| \leq A_{10},$$

where Rm^σ is the curvature tensor of σ . Suppose that $|v_{i\bar{j}k}|_\sigma$ is bounded on $M \times [0, T']$ for all $T' < T$.

Then there is a constant C depending only on n and $A_1 - A_{10}$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad Q = g^{i\bar{j}}g^{k\bar{l}}g^{m\bar{n}}v_{i\bar{l}m}v_{j\bar{k}n} \leq C$$

on $M \times [0, T)$.

Proof. In the following C_i 's denote positive constants depending only on n and $A_1 - A_{10}$. For $0 \leq t < T$, by Lemma 3.1 we have that in normal coordinates with respect to σ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)Q \\ & \geq (F_{i\bar{k}m} - v_{t,i\bar{k}m})v_{i\bar{k}m} + (F_{i\bar{k}m} - v_{t,i\bar{k}m})v_{i\bar{k}m} \\ & \quad + (v_{t,p\bar{k}} - F_{p\bar{k}})v_{i\bar{p}m}v_{i\bar{k}m} + (v_{t,p\bar{i}} - F_{p\bar{i}})v_{i\bar{k}m}v_{p\bar{k}m} \\ & \quad + |v_{i\bar{k}m\bar{a}} - v_{i\bar{k}p\bar{a}}v_{i\bar{p}m}|_\sigma^2 \\ & \quad + |v_{i\bar{k}ma} - (v_{i\bar{p}a}v_{p\bar{k}m} + v_{m\bar{p}a}v_{i\bar{k}p})|_\sigma^2 \\ & \quad - C(n) [(|\nabla_\sigma \text{Rm}^\sigma|_\sigma + |\text{Rm}^\sigma|_\sigma) |v_{i\bar{j}}|_\sigma |v_{i\bar{j}k}|_\sigma + |\text{Rm}^\sigma| |v_{i\bar{j}k}|_\sigma^2] \\ & \quad + C_0 |v_{i\bar{j}k}|_\sigma^2 \\ & \geq -C_1 Q - C_2 + |v_{i\bar{k}m\bar{a}} - v_{i\bar{k}p\bar{a}}v_{i\bar{p}m}|_\sigma^2 \\ & \quad + |v_{i\bar{k}ma} - (v_{i\bar{p}a}v_{p\bar{k}m} + v_{m\bar{p}a}v_{i\bar{k}p})|_\sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, direct computations show:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) (\Delta_\sigma v + n) \\
&= g^{k\bar{l}} g^{p\bar{q}} v_{;p\bar{l}} v_{i\bar{q}\bar{k}} - g^{k\bar{l}} g_{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}^\sigma - \sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}} - \Delta_\sigma v_t - (\sigma^{i\bar{j}})_t v_{i\bar{j}} \\
&= g^{k\bar{l}} g^{p\bar{q}} v_{;p\bar{l}} v_{i\bar{q}\bar{k}} - g^{k\bar{l}} g_{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}^\sigma - \sigma^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}}^0 + \Delta_\sigma f - (\sigma^{i\bar{j}})_t v_{i\bar{j}} \\
&\geq C_3 Q - C_4
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.1) and Corollary 3.1. Hence by Lemma 3.2 (3.12)

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left(Q + \frac{C_1 + 1}{C_3} (\Delta_\sigma v + n)\right) \geq Q + \frac{C_1 + 1}{C_3} (\Delta_\sigma v + n) - C_5.$$

By assumption and Corollary 3.1, $Q + \frac{C_1 + 1}{C_3} (\Delta_\sigma v + n)$ is bounded on $M \times [0, T']$ for all $T' < T$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can conclude that the lemma is true by the maximum principle. $\square$

Now we want to estimate the function $w = -v_t$. We begin by noting the following estimate which follows immediately from Lemma 3.3, (3.1) and (3.8):

Corollary 3.2. *With the same assumptions and notations as in Lemma 3.3. In addition, suppose there exist A_{11} and A_{12} such that on $M \times [0, T)$*

$$|\nabla_0 \sigma|_\sigma \leq A_{11}, \quad |\nabla_\sigma f|_\sigma \leq A_{12}$$

where ∇_0 is the covariant derivative with respect to g_0 . Then there exists a positive constants $C > 0$ depending on n and $A_1 - A_{12}$ such that $|\nabla w|^2 \leq C$ on $M \times [0, T)$.

Now we want to estimate higher order derivatives of w . By (3.1) we note that

$$(3.13) \quad \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) w = g^{i\bar{j}} (\sigma_t)_{i\bar{j}} - f_t := F.$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad w_{i\bar{j}} = R_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}}^0 + f_{i\bar{j}} = R_{i\bar{j}} - \Omega_{i\bar{j}},$$

where $\Omega_{i\bar{j}} = R_{i\bar{j}}^0 - f_{i\bar{j}}$. Now we compute $(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |\nabla w|^2$. In normal coordinates with respect to g we have

(3.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(|\nabla w|^2) &= g^{i\bar{j}} \left(w_k w_{\bar{l}} g^{k\bar{l}} \right)_{i\bar{j}} \\
&= g^{i\bar{j}} g^{k\bar{l}} \left(w_{k\bar{i}} w_{\bar{l}\bar{j}} + w_{k\bar{j}} w_{\bar{l}\bar{i}} + w_{k\bar{i}\bar{j}} w_{\bar{l}} + w_k w_{\bar{l}\bar{i}\bar{j}} \right) + g^{i\bar{j}} w_k w_{\bar{l}} \left(g^{k\bar{l}} \right)_{i\bar{j}} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (|w_{ij}|^2 + |w_{i\bar{j}}|^2) + (\Delta w)_i w_{\bar{i}} + (\Delta w)_{\bar{i}} w_i + R_{i\bar{j}} w_i w_{\bar{j}} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (|w_{ij}|^2 + |w_{i\bar{j}}|^2) + (\Delta w)_i w_{\bar{i}} + (\Delta w)_{\bar{i}} w_i + w_{i\bar{j}} w_i w_{\bar{j}} + \Omega_{i\bar{j}} w_i w_{\bar{j}}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.14).

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(|\nabla w|^2) &= g^{i\bar{j}} (w_{it} w_{\bar{j}} + w_i w_{\bar{j}t}) + (g^{i\bar{j}})_t w_i w_{\bar{j}} \\
&= (w_t)_i w_{\bar{i}} + (w_t)_{\bar{i}} w_i + (w_{i\bar{j}} - \sigma_{i\bar{j}}) w_i w_{\bar{j}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (|\nabla w|^2) &= \sum_{i,j} (|w_{ij}|^2 + |w_{i\bar{j}}|^2) + (\Omega_{i\bar{j}} + \sigma_{i\bar{j}} w_i w_{\bar{j}}) \\
&\quad + F_i w_{\bar{i}} + F_{\bar{i}} w_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume the hypothesis and notation in Corollary 3.2. Assume in addition that there exist A_{13} and A_{14} such that on $M \times [0, T)$,*

$$|\nabla_0 \sigma_t|_\sigma + |\nabla_0^2 \sigma_t|_\sigma \leq A_{13}, \quad |(f_t)_{i\bar{j}}|_\sigma \leq A_{14}.$$

Suppose that $S := g^{i\bar{l}} g^{k\bar{j}} w_{i\bar{j}} w_{k\bar{l}}$ is bounded on $M \times [0, T']$ for all $T' < T$.

Then there is a constant C depending only on n and $A_1 - A_{14}$, such that $S \leq C$ on $M \times [0, T)$.

Proof. In the following C_i will denote positive constants depending only on n and $A_1 - A$. By (3.13) and Lemma 2.1 in [12]

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) w_{i\bar{j}} &= R_{i\bar{k}i\bar{j}} w_{k\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{2} (R_{i\bar{p}} w_{p\bar{j}} + R_{p\bar{j}} w_{i\bar{l}}) + F_{i\bar{j}} \\
&= R_{i\bar{k}i\bar{j}} w_{k\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{2} (w_{i\bar{p}} w_{p\bar{j}} + w_{p\bar{j}} w_{i\bar{l}}) - \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{i\bar{p}} w_{p\bar{j}} + \Omega_{p\bar{j}} w_{i\bar{l}}) + F_{i\bar{j}}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.14). In normal coordinates with respect to g :

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})S \\
&= w_{i\bar{j}k}w_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}} + w_{j\bar{i}\bar{k}}w_{j\bar{i}k} + w_{j\bar{i}}\Delta w_{i\bar{j}} + w_{i\bar{j}}\Delta w_{j\bar{i}} \\
&\quad - w_{j\bar{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w_{i\bar{j}} - w_{i\bar{j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w_{j\bar{i}} - g^{i\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} - g^{i\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} \\
&= 2|w_{i\bar{j}k}|^2 + 2w_{i\bar{j}}\left(R_{l\bar{k}i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{2}(w_{i\bar{p}}w_{p\bar{j}} + w_{p\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{l}})\right) \\
&\quad - w_{i\bar{j}}(\Omega_{i\bar{p}}w_{p\bar{j}} + \Omega_{p\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{l}} + 2F_{i\bar{j}}) - g^{i\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} - g^{i\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})S - \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{(1 + S)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[2w_{i\bar{j}}\left(R_{l\bar{k}i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{2}(w_{i\bar{p}}w_{p\bar{j}} + kw_{p\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{l}})\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - w_{i\bar{j}}(\Omega_{i\bar{p}}w_{p\bar{j}} + \Omega_{p\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{l}} + 2F_{i\bar{j}} + w_{i\bar{j}})\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[g^{i\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}} - g^{i\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{r}}g^{s\bar{j}}w_{s\bar{r}}w_{i\bar{j}}w_{k\bar{l}}\right] \\
&\geq -C_1(S + |\text{Rm}|^2 + |F_{i\bar{j}}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_1 depending only on n and $A_1 - A$, where we have used the fact that $|\nabla S|^2 \leq 2S \sum_{i,j,k} |w_{i\bar{j}k}|^2$.

In order to estimate $|F_{i\bar{j}}|$, note that in normal coordinate of σ at a point,

$$(3.21) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = g^{p\bar{q}}\frac{\partial g_{p\bar{j}}}{\partial z_l}\frac{\partial g_{i\bar{q}}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} + R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}^\sigma - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}v_{i\bar{j}}.$$

Using Corollary 3.1, Lemma 3.3 we have

$$(3.22) \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}v_{i\bar{j}}\right| \leq C_2(|\text{Rm}| + 1)$$

for some constant C_2 depending only on the constants in the lemma. Now in a normal coordinate of σ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i\bar{j}} &= (g^{k\bar{l}}(\sigma_t)_{k\bar{l}})_{i\bar{j}} + (f_t)_{i\bar{j}} \\
&= (g^{k\bar{l}})_{i\bar{j}}(\sigma_t)_{k\bar{l}} + (g^{k\bar{l}})_i((\sigma_t)_{k\bar{l}})_{\bar{j}} + (g^{k\bar{l}})_{\bar{j}}((\sigma_t)_{k\bar{l}})_i + (g^{k\bar{l}})((\sigma_t)_{k\bar{l}})_{i\bar{j}} + (f_t)_{i\bar{j}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (3.22), we have

$$(3.23) \quad |F_{i\bar{j}}| \leq C_3(|\text{Rm}| + 1).$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 3.1, Lemma 3.3, (3.11), (3.21) and (3.3) we have:

$$(3.24) \quad (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})Q \geq C(n)|\text{Rm}|^2 - C_4.$$

By (3.17), (3.20), (3.24), (3.23), Lemma 3.3, Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, we can find positive constants C_5, C_6, C_7 depending only on the quantities in the lemma such that in $M \times [0, T]$

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \left[(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_5|\nabla w|^2 + C_6Q \right] \\ \geq \left[(1 + S)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_5|\nabla w|^2 + C_6Q \right] - C_7 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.17). By assumption, for each $t \in [0, T]$, S is bounded. One may then proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 to conclude that

$$S \leq C$$

for some constant C depending only on the constants mentioned in the lemma. $\square$

From the above Lemmas we may conclude

Corollary 3.3. *Let v be as in Lemma 3.4. There is a constant C depending only on the quantities in Lemma 3.4 such that*

$$\|v\|_{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2, M \times [0, T]} \leq C$$

.

Remark 2. Let Ω be a bounded domain. Suppose the quantities that we want to estimate in this section are bounded in $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. Then it is easy to see that from the above proofs we may conclude that the quantities are also bounded in $\Omega \times [0, T]$.

4. APPLICATIONS

We will now apply the results of the previous sections. Given a solution $v(x, t)$ to (3.1) as in the previous section, we are interested in establishing conditions under which we have longtime existence of $v(x, t)$ as a solution to (3.1). More generally we are also interested in where singularities can form when (3.1) does not admit a longtime solution. In Theorem 4.1 below we describe where singularities of (3.1) can occur in the case where our solution corresponds to a solution to

the Kähler-Ricci flow. As a corollary we will establish a longtime existence result for the Kähler-Ricci flow in Corollary 4.1 which improves the longtime existence result in [5]. Then in Theorem 4.2, combining the C^0 estimate in [6] with the a priori estimates of the previous section we establish a longtime convergent solution to (3.1) under certain conditions which generalize those in the main result in [6].

The following Theorem describes where singularities can or cannot form under the Kähler-Ricci flow in terms of the existence of plurisubharmonic functions defined on subsets of a complete non-compact Kähler manifold.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, g_0) be a complete non-compact Kähler manifold such that*

- i) $|Rm_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $d(x) \rightarrow \infty$ where $d(x)$ is the distance function on M from some $p \in M$.
- ii) *The injectivity radius of (M, g_0) is uniformly bounded below by some $c > 0$.*
- iii) *There exists an open set S with smooth compact boundary ∂S and a smooth function F which is strictly pluri-subharmonic on S and smooth up to ∂S .*

Let $g(t)$ be a solution to the Kähler-Ricci flow $g' = -Rc$ on $M \times [0, T)$ with initial condition $g(0) = g_0$. Then for any closed set N contained in S , the Riemannian curvature tensor of $g(t)$ and all its covariant derivatives are bounded on $N \times [0, T)$ provided they are bounded on $\partial S \times [0, T)$.

Proof. By the results in [15], we may assume that g_0 is smooth and that all the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor of ω_0 are bounded on M . Now suppose $g(t)$ solves the Kähler-Ricci flow $g' = -Rc$ on $M \times [0, T)$ with initial condition $g(0) = g_0$, and that the curvature of $g(t)$ all its covariant derivatives are bounded on $\partial S \times [0, T)$.

By the discussion in the introduction, we know that there is a solution $v(x, t)$ to

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v)^n}{(\omega_0)^n} \\ v(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

on $M \times [0, T)$ such that $\sigma = -tRc_0 + \omega_0$ and $\omega = \sigma + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v$ where ω is the Kähler form for $g(t)$. By Theorem 9.1 in [5] we can find sufficiently large bounded open sets Ω_1, Ω with smooth boundary such that

- (i) $\partial S \subset \Omega_1 \subset \subset \Omega$;

- (ii) $|\nabla_t^k Rm(x, t)| \leq C_k$ on $\Omega_1^c \times [0, T)$ for all k and some C_k depending only on Ω and k .

In particular, from our hypothesis and the definition of σ it is not hard to show we thus have $|\nabla_0^k v| \leq C_k$ on $\partial(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T)$ for all k and some C_k depending only on k and T . Now if N is any closed subset of S , then we have $N = (\Omega_1 \cap N) \cup (\Omega_1^c \cap N)$ where $\Omega_1 \cap N$ has compact closure in $\Omega \cap S$. Hence by condition (ii) above, we see that to prove the theorem it will be sufficient to prove that $|\nabla_0^k v| \leq C_k$ on $\Omega' \times [0, T)$ for all k and some C_k depending only on g_0 , k , T and Ω' where Ω' is any closed subset of $\Omega \cap S$. We now proceed to do this. We begin by showing

Claim 1: There exists $C > 0$ such that $\sup_{(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T)} |v_t| \leq C$.

We will establish the claim by using the a priori estimates from the previous section applied to domains (see Remark 2), and by using the plurisubharmonic function F and arguing as in [11] where the authors considered the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow $g' = -Rc - g$ on a complete non-compact Kähler manifold (also see [20] and [21]).

We begin by differentiating (4.1) with respect to t successively to obtain the following which we express in an orthonormal coordinate with respect to $g(t)$:

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t} = \Delta v_t + (\sigma')_{i\bar{i}}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_{tt}}{\partial t} &= \Delta v_{tt} - (g'(t))_{i\bar{j}}(v_t)_{i\bar{j}} - (g'(t))_{i\bar{j}}(\sigma')_{i\bar{j}} \\ &= \Delta v_{tt} - (g'(t))_{i\bar{j}}(g'(t))_{i\bar{j}} \\ &\leq \Delta v_{tt} \end{aligned}$$

Now from our above observation and (4.1), we know that $|v_{tt}|$ is bounded on $\partial(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T)$. From this fact and (4.3), we conclude by the maximum principle that v_{tt} , and thus v_t and v is bounded from above on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T)$. Now for the bound from below, we use the plurisubharmonic function F and compute in an orthonormal coordinate with respect to $g(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}((2T-t)v_t + v - F) &= \Delta((2T-t)v_t + v - F) \\
&\quad - \Delta u + \Delta F + 2T(\sigma'_t)_{i\bar{i}} - t(\sigma'_t)_{i\bar{i}} \\
&= \Delta((2T-t)v_t + v - F) - n + (2T\sigma)_{i\bar{i}} + F_{i\bar{i}} \\
&\geq \Delta((2T-t)v_t + v - F) - n
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used the fact that by scaling F we may assume that we have $2T(\sigma'_t)_{i\bar{i}} + F_{i\bar{i}} \geq 0$ on $(\Omega \cap S)$, $\sigma' = -Rc_0$ and the fact that $n = g^{i\bar{j}}g_{i\bar{j}} = g^{i\bar{j}}(\sigma + \partial\bar{\partial}v)_{i\bar{j}} = \Delta v - tg^{i\bar{j}}(Rc_0)_{i\bar{j}} + g^{i\bar{j}}(\omega_0)_{i\bar{j}}$. Arguing as above and using the bound on v from above, we conclude from (4.4) and the maximum principle that v_t and thus v is bounded from below on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$. This completes the proof of the claim.

We now modify (4.1) so that the varying background metric is uniformly Kähler. We note that

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma = \frac{T-t}{T}\sigma(0) + \frac{t}{T}\sigma(T)$$

and we let

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad \hat{\sigma} &= \frac{T-t}{T}\sigma(0) + \frac{t}{T}(\sigma(T) + \partial\bar{\partial}F) \\
\hat{v} &= v - \frac{t}{T}F.
\end{aligned}$$

By condition (iii), by scaling F we may assume that $\sigma(T) + \partial\bar{\partial}F$ is equivalent to ω_0 on $(\Omega \cap S)$. Hence $\hat{\sigma}$ is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$.

Then from the above equations and (4.1) we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\hat{\sigma} + \partial\bar{\partial}\hat{v})^n}{(\omega_0)^n} - \frac{F}{T} \\ \hat{v}(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The point is that the background $\hat{\sigma}$ is now a Kähler metric which is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$. On the other hand, our previous estimates imply that $|\hat{v}_t|$ and thus $|\hat{v}|$ are uniformly bounded on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$. From this fact, the above observation that $|\nabla_0^k v|$ and thus $|\nabla_0^k \hat{v}|$ is uniformly bounded on $\partial(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$ for all k , and the estimates in the previous section we can conclude by the maximum principle that $\hat{v}_{i\bar{j}}, \hat{v}_{i\bar{j}k}, \hat{v}_{ti\bar{j}}$ are uniformly bounded on $(\Omega \cap S) \times [0, T]$. Thus by differentiating (4.7) and applying parabolic Schauder estimates we conclude that $|\nabla_0^k \hat{v}|$ and thus $|\nabla_0^k v|$ is uniformly bounded on $\Omega' \times [0, T]$ for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by a constant depending only on T ,

g_0 , Ω' and k where Ω' is any closed set in $(\Omega \cap S)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

As a Corollary of Theorem 4.1 we have the following longtime existence result for the Kähler-Ricci flow.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (M, g_0) be a complete non-compact Kähler manifold such that*

- i) $|Rm_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $d(x) \rightarrow \infty$ where $d(x)$ is the distance function on M from some $p \in M$.
- ii) The injectivity radius of (M, g_0) is uniformly bounded below by some $c > 0$.
- iii) There exists a strictly pluri-subharmonic function F on M .

Then the Kähler-Ricci flow $g' = -Rc$ has a longtime solution $g(t)$ on M with initial condition $g(0) = g_0$.

We now turn to our second application of the a priori estimates from our previous section. We will establish the following convergence result for (3.1) which generalizes the main convergence result in [6].

Theorem 4.2. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a smooth complete non-compact Kähler manifold with $n \geq 3$, and let Ω be a $(1,1)$ -form on M such that $\text{Ric}_0 - \Omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f_0$ for some smooth potential f_0 . Suppose we have $\sum_{k=0}^1 |\nabla_0^k Rm| + \sum_{k=0}^3 |\nabla_0^k f| < \infty$. Then we have the following:*

1. *The following modified Kähler-Ricci flow has a long time smooth solution $g(t)$.*

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial t} = -R_{i\bar{j}} + \Omega_{i\bar{j}} \\ g_{i\bar{j}}(x, 0) = (g_0)_{i\bar{j}} \end{cases}$$

2. *If in addition the potential f_0 satisfies*
(a)

$$(4.9) \quad |f_0|(x) \leq \frac{C_1}{1 + \rho_0^{2+\epsilon}(x)}$$

for some $C_1, \epsilon > 0$, and all $x \in M$ where $\rho_0(x)$ is the distance function from a fixed $o \in M$.

- (b) *The following Sobolev inequality is true:*

$$(4.10) \quad \left(\int_M |\phi|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} dV_0 \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_2 \int_M |\nabla_0 \phi|^2 dV_0$$

for some $C_2 > 0$ and all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M)$.

(c) *There exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that*

$$(4.11) \quad V_0(r) \leq C_3 r^{2n}$$

for some $C_3 > 0$ and all r where $V_0(r)$ is the volume of the geodesic ball with radius r centered at some $o \in M$.

Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $g(t)$ converges uniformly on compact sets in the C^∞ topology on M to a complete Kähler-Ricci metric g_∞ on M which is uniformly equivalent to g_0 , has bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ and has Ricci form equal to Ω .

Remark 3. If we assume further that all the covariant derivatives of Rm_0 and f_0 are bounded, then g_∞ will also have all covariant derivatives of curvature bounded.

As in [6] our approach is to consider (3.1) where we set $\sigma = g_0$. In other words, we consider the equation

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\det((g_0)_{k\bar{l}} + v_{k\bar{l}})}{\det((g_0)_{k\bar{l}})} - f_0 \\ v(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

A straight forward calculation show that if $v(x, t)$ solves (4.12) on $M \times [0, T)$ then $g_{i\bar{j}}(t) = (g_0)_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}}$ is a family of Kähler metrics on M which solves (4.8) on $M \times [0, T)$. On the other hand, it is not hard to show that given a solution $g_{i\bar{j}}(t)$ to (4.8) on $M \times [0, T)$, then we obtain a solution $v(x, t)$ to (4.12) on $M \times [0, T)$ (see [6]).

We now prove the first part of the Theorem. First note that the assumptions on the curvature tensor imply (M, g_0) has bounded geometry of order $2 + \alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ by [19]. The assumptions on f then imply that $f \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$. Hence under the hypothesis in the theorem, by Proposition 2.1 there exists a maximal smooth solution v to (4.12) satisfying the conclusions of the Proposition on $M \times [0, T)$ for some $T > 0$. On the other hand, differentiating (4.12) with respect to t gives

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t} = \Delta_t v_t \\ v_t(x, 0) = f_0 \end{cases}$$

and thus by the maximum principle and our hypothesis on f_0 , we conclude that $|v_t|$ and thus $|v|$ is uniformly bounded on $M \times [0, T)$. Now by Remark 1, we may have that the conclusion of Corollary 3.3 is true on $M \times [0, T)$ for some constant C . From this, Remark 1 and Proposition 2.1 we see that if $T < \infty$ we could then extend v as a solution to (4.12) to $M \times [0, T')$ for some $T' > T$ which contradicts the maximality of

T . Thus we must have $T = \infty$ which establishes the first part of the Theorem.

We now prove the second part of the Theorem on convergence. In [6] a C^0 estimate was established for (4.12) under the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and the additional assumption that $\Omega = 0$. The key difference in this case is that the corresponding metrics $g(t)$ will evolve under the standard Kähler-Ricci flow, and general Kähler-Ricci theory may then be applied. On the other hand, the a priori estimates of the previous section basically ensure that by the same proof as in [6] we obtain the same C^0 estimate without this additional assumption. Our a priori estimates and Proposition 2.1 then imply the existence of a longtime solution to (4.12) which stays uniformly bounded in the C^0 norm on M with additional higher order derivative bounds aswell. We state this more precisely in the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M^n, g_0) be as in Theorem 4.2. Then (4.12) has a smooth solution v on $M \times [0, \infty)$ such that for each $0 \leq l \leq 4$, $\|\nabla_0^l v(x, t)\|_{g_0}$ is bounded by a constant depending only on l, g_0, f_0 .*

Moreover, given any $4 < l < \infty$ and a compact set $S \subset M$ then $\|\nabla_0^l v(x, t)\|_{S, g_0}$ is bounded by a constant depending only on S, l, g_0, f_0 .

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a solution $v(x, t)$ to (4.12) on $M \times [0, T)$ for some $T > 0$ satisfying the properties in the Proposition. Thus $g_{i\bar{j}}(t) = (g_0)_{i\bar{j}} + v_{i\bar{j}}$ is a family of Kähler metrics on M such that for each $t \in [0, T)$, $g(t)$ is equivalent to g_0 . Moreover, by remark 1 we in fact have that for each $t \in [0, T)$, $g(t)$ has bounded curvature. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4 in [6] that we have $\sup_{t \in [0, T)} |v(t)| \leq C$ for some C independent of T .

In particular, by Corollary 3.3 we have $\|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T))}$ bounded independent of T . Thus by considering the pull back of (3.1) in an arbitrary quasi-coordinate and applying a bootstrapping argument, as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 2.1, we conclude that $\|v(t)\|_{C^{4+\alpha}(M)}$ is bounded independent of $t \in [0, T)$ (see Remark 1). Thus by Proposition 2.1 we can extend $v(x, t)$ as a solution to (4.12) past T , and we may then assume that $T = \infty$ in the above discussion. This completes the proof of the first part of the Lemma. The proof of the second part of the Lemma follows from iterating the above bootstrapping argument. $\square$

Let $v(x, t)$ is a longtime solution to (4.12) as in Lemma 4.1. We want investigate the longtime behavior of $w(x, t) = u_t(x, t)$.

Lemma 4.2. *$w \rightarrow 0$ pointwise on M as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that $|\nabla w^k| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. By (15) of [6], if $p = 2k + 2$ with k being a large integer, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M w^p dV_t \leq -C \int_M |\nabla w^k|^2 dV_t$$

where C is a positive constant independent of t . Let $x_0 \in M$ suppose there exist $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\nabla w^k|(x_0, t_i) \geq \epsilon$. On the other hand, there is a neighborhood U of x_0 , such that

$$(4.15) \quad |u_{t\alpha\beta}| + |u_{tt\alpha}| \leq C$$

in U , where α etc. denote the indices for the real coordinates. Hence there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $(x, t) \in B_0(x_0) \times [t_i, t_i + \delta]$ we have $|\nabla w^k| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. In particular,

$$\int_M |\nabla w^k|^2 dV_t \geq \epsilon'$$

for all $t \in [t_i, t_i + \delta]$ for some $\epsilon' > 0$ independent of i . This is impossible because of (4.14). Thus we have established that $|\nabla w^k| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. On the other hand, (4.14) shows that the integral $\int_M w^p dV_t$ is uniformly bounded for all $t \geq 0$. Combining these last two facts together with Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $w \rightarrow 0$ pointwise on M as $t \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

We now combine the above lemma's with a Liouville theorem of Yau [22] for L^p harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds to give a proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Lemma 4.1, given any sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ there is a subsequence of $u(x, t_i)$ converging smoothly and uniformly on compact subsets of M . To prove Theorem 4.2 it suffices to prove that such a limit is independent of the sequence t_i which we now proceed to do.

Suppose $u(x, t_i)$ converges to $u_1(x)$ and $u(x, s_i)$ converges to $u_2(x)$ smoothly and uniformly on compact subsets of M , where $t_i, s_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_i \leq t_i$ for every i . We claim that $v = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies the Laplace equation

$$(4.16) \quad \Delta_h v = 0$$

on M where h is a complete Kähler metric on M which is equivalent to g_0 and has all covariant derivatives of its curvature tensor bounded.

Indeed, by (4.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad f(s_i) - f(t_i) &= \log \det((g_0)_{k\bar{l}} + u_{k\bar{l}}(t_i)) - \log \det((g_0)_{k\bar{l}} + u_{k\bar{l}}(s_i)) \\
 &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \log \det((g_0)_{k\bar{l}} + (su(t_i) - (1-s)u(s_i))_{k\bar{l}}) ds \\
 &= \left(\int_0^1 G^{l\bar{k}}(s) ds \right) (u(t_i) - u(s_i))_{l\bar{k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each i , where $G^{i\bar{j}}(s)$ is the inverse of the Kähler metric $G_{i\bar{j}}(s) = (g_0)_{k\bar{l}} + (su(t_i) - (1-s)u(s_i))_{k\bar{l}}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that some subsequence of $(\int_0^1 G^{l\bar{k}}(s) ds)$ converges smoothly and uniformly on compact subsets of M to a smooth limit $h^{i\bar{j}}$ which is the inverse of a Kähler metric h as above. Our claim follows by taking a limit of (4.17) and using Lemma 4.2. On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 3 in [6] we know that $\int_M |u(x, t)|^p dV_0 \leq C$ for some p and some C independent of t . Thus we have $\int_M |v(x)|^p dV_0 \leq C$. It follows from this, (4.16) and the Liouville theorems in [23] that $v = 0$ and thus $u_1 = u_2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

5. APPENDIX

We begin by explicitly defining the local Hölder norms used in the definitions of the elliptic and parabolic Hölder spaces on M used in §2 (Also see [10]). Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the $C^{k+\alpha}$ norm of a function u on Ω is defined as:

$$\|u\|_{k+\alpha; \Omega} = \sum_{|s|=0}^k \sup_{\Omega} |\partial^s u| + \sum_{|s|=k} \sup_{x \neq x' \in \Omega} \frac{|\partial^s u(x) - \partial^s u(x')|}{|x - x'|^\alpha}$$

where s is a multi-index, and

$$\partial^s u = \frac{\partial^{|s|} u}{\partial x^s}.$$

For $T > 0$, the $C^{2k+\alpha, k+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ norm on $\Omega_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$ is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_{k+\alpha, k+\frac{\alpha}{2}; \Omega_T} &= \sum_{|s|+2r=0}^{2k} \sup_{\Omega} |\partial_t^r \partial^s u| \\
 &+ \sum_{|s|+2r=2k} \sup_{(x,t) \neq (x',t') \in \Omega_T} \frac{|\partial_t^r \partial^s u(x, t) - \partial_t^r \partial^s u(x', t')|}{|x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^\frac{\alpha}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\partial_t^r \partial^s u = \frac{\partial^{r+|s|} u}{\partial t^r \partial x^s}.$$

If there is no confusion, we will simply write $\|u\|_{k+\alpha}$ or $\|u\|_{k+\alpha, k+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

Now suppose (M, g) has bounded geometry of order $k + \alpha$ with respect to a quasi-coordinate system $\mathcal{F}$ where k is even. Fix some $T > 0$ and consider the associated parabolic Hölder norm $\|\cdot\|_{k, \alpha; \mathcal{F}}$ for functions on $M \times [0, T]$ as in Definition 2.2 where the additional subscript denotes dependence on the quasi-coordinate $\mathcal{F}$. The following lemma basically says that the associated Banach space $C^{k+\alpha, k/2+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T])$ from definition 2.2 is independent of the choice of quasi-coordinate.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{(\theta_p, V_p) \mid p \in M\}$ be another family of quasi-coordinate neighborhoods with data K_1, K_2, R . Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all smooth function f on $M \times [0, T]$*

$$\|f\|_{k, \alpha; \mathcal{G}} \leq C \|f\|_{k, \alpha; \mathcal{F}}$$

Proof. We just prove the case that $k = 2$. Let f be a smooth function on $M \times [0, T]$. Let (θ_p, V_p) be a quasi-coordinate neighborhood in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $w_0 \in D(R)$ and let $\theta_p(w_0) = q$. Let (ξ_q, U_q) be a quasi-coordinate in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\xi_q(0) = q$. By [4, Lemma 3.2] in [4], suppose $D(w_0, \epsilon) = \{|w - w_0| < \epsilon\} \subset D(R)$, then there is a local biholomorphism $\phi : D(w_0, \epsilon) \rightarrow D(r)$ such that $\phi(w_0) = 0$ and $\xi_q \circ \phi = \theta_p$, provided $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ which depends only on $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Suppose $\phi(w) = z$. Then

$$\xi_q^*(f) = \theta_p^*(f)$$

and

$$s_{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial w_k} \frac{\overline{\partial z_j}}{\partial \bar{w}_l} = h_{k\bar{l}}$$

on $\theta(D(w_0, \epsilon))$ where $h_{k\bar{l}} := \theta_q^*(g)(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_l})$ and $s_{i\bar{j}} := \xi_p^*(g)(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_l})$.

Let $k = l$ and using the fact that $\xi_q^*(g)$ and $\theta_p^*(g)$ are uniformly equivalent to the Euclidean metric, we conclude that

$$|\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial w_k}| \leq C$$

on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$. Now

$$\frac{\partial \theta_p^*(f)}{\partial w_k} = \frac{\partial \xi_q^*(f)}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial w_k}$$

we conclude that on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$

$$|\theta_p^*(f)| + |D_w \theta_p^*(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{2, \alpha, \mathcal{F}}.$$

It is also easy to see that on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$

$$|D_t \theta_p^*(f)| = |D_t \xi_q^*(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{2, \alpha, \mathcal{F}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_a} h_{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_b} s_{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial z_b}{\partial w_a} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i} \overline{\frac{\partial z_l}{\partial w_j}} \\ &\quad + s_{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial w_i \partial w_a} \overline{\frac{\partial z_l}{\partial w_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the vector $v = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial w_i \partial w_a}$. Let $v = a_j \phi_* \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$.

$$\xi_q^*(g)(v, \phi_* \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_c}) = a_j h_{j\bar{c}}.$$

Hence

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v &= h^{j\bar{c}} \xi_q^*(g)(v, \phi_* \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_c}) \phi_* \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \\ &= h^{j\bar{c}} s_{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial w_i \partial w_a} \overline{\frac{\partial z_l}{\partial w_c}} \frac{\partial z_d}{\partial w_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_d}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 z_d}{\partial w_i \partial w_a} &= h^{j\bar{c}} s_{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial w_i \partial w_a} \overline{\frac{\partial z_l}{\partial w_c}} \frac{\partial z_d}{\partial w_j} \\ &= h^{j\bar{c}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_a} h_{i\bar{j}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_b} s_{k\bar{l}} \frac{\partial z_b}{\partial w_a} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial w_i} \overline{\frac{\partial z_l}{\partial w_j}} \right) \frac{\partial z_d}{\partial w_j} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 z_d}{\partial w_i \partial w_a} \right| \leq C$$

on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$. In fact, from (0.3) we see that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^3 z_d}{\partial w_i \partial w_a \partial w_j} \right\|_\alpha \leq C$$

on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$ (Note that since $s_{i\bar{j}}(z)$ is $C^{2+\alpha}$ and $z(w)$ is C^1 , it follows that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} s_{i\bar{j}}(z(w))$ is C^α with respect to w). In particular, it is easy to see that on $D(w_0, \epsilon)$

$$|D_w^2 \theta_p^*(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{2, \alpha, \mathcal{F}}.$$

Now if $w \in D(w_0, \epsilon)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & \frac{\partial \theta_p^*(f)}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w) - \frac{\partial \theta_p^*(f)}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w_0) \\
&= \frac{\partial \xi_q^*(f)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial w_k} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_l}(w) + \frac{\partial \xi_q^*(f)}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial^2 z_i}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w) \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial \xi_q^*(f)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial w_k} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_l}(w_0) - \frac{\partial \xi_q^*(f)}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial^2 z_i}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|z - z_0| \leq C|w - w_0|$, we can conclude that

$$\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \theta_p^*(f)}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w) - \frac{\partial \theta_p^*(f)}{\partial w_k \partial w_l}(w_0) \right|}{(|w - w_0|^2 + |t - t_0|)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq C \|f\|_{2, \alpha, \mathcal{F}}$$

for $w \in D(w_0, \epsilon)$. One can also obtain the Hölder estimate for $\partial_t \theta_p^*(f)$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. Cao, Huai-Dong, *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kahler Einstein metrics on compact Kahler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 359-372.
2. Chau, A., *Convergence of the Kähler Ricci flow on non-compact Kähler Manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), 211-232.
3. A. Chau, J. Chen, W. He, *Lagrangian Mean Curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs*, arXiv:0902.3300.
4. Chau, A., Tam, L.F., *Non-negatively curved Kähler manifolds with average quadratic curvature decay*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 1, 121-146,
5. Chau, A., Tam, L.F., and Yu, C., *Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications*, to appear in Canadian Journal of Math.
6. Chau, A., Tam, L.F., *A C^0 -estimate for the parabolic Monge-Ampère equation on complete non-compact Kähler manifolds*.
7. Cheng, S.Y. and Yau, S.T., *On the existence of a complete Kahler Einstein metric on non-compact complex manifolds and the regularity of Feffermans equation*, Comm. Pure App. Math. **33** (1980), 507-544.
8. Friedman, A., *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice Hall, 1964.
9. Hamilton, R.S., *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 255-306.
10. Krylov, N.V., *Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **12**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
11. Lott J. and Zhang Z., *Ricci flow on Quasiprojective manifolds.*, arXiv 0906.4496v2
12. Ni, L. and Tam, L.-F., *Plurisubharmonic functions and the Kähler-Ricci flow*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 3, 623-654.

13. Ni, L. and Tam, L.-F., *Plurisubharmonic functions and the structure of complete Kähler manifolds with nonnegative curvature* J. Differential Geom. **64** (2003), no. 3, 457–524,
14. Shi, Wan-Xiong, *Ricci deformation of the metric on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), 303–394.
15. Shi, Wan-Xiong, *Ricci Flow and the uniformization on complete non compact Kähler manifolds*, J. of Differential Geometry. **45** (1997), no. 1, 94–220.
16. Tam, Luen-fai, *Exhaustion function on complete manifolds*, to appear in Proceedings of the The Ninth Pacific Rim Geometry Conference, 2008, Taipei.
17. Tian, G. and Yau S.T., *Existence of Kähler Einstein metrics on complete Kahler manifolds and their applications to algebraic geometry*, in "Mathematical Aspects of String Theory", edited by S.T. Yau, World Scientific, 1986.
18. Tian, G. and Yau S.T., *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**(1990), no.3, 579–609.
19. Tian, G. and Yau S.T., *Complete Kahler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. II*, Invent. Math. **106**(1990), no.1, 27–60.
20. Tian, G. and Zhang, Z. *On the Kähler-Ricci flow on projective manifolds of general type*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), no. 2, 179–192
21. Tsuji, H. *Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type*, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 123–133.
22. Yau S.T., *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **31** (1978), 339–441.
23. Yau S.T., *Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry*, Indiana Univ Math J. **25**, (1976), 659–670.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA,
ROOM 121, 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1Z2
E-mail address: chau@math.ubc.ca

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG,
CHINA.

E-mail address: lftam@math.cuhk.edu.hk